

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,923	01/17/2001	Yun-Kuei Yang	JCLA6211	5550
7:	590 06/26/2003			
J.C. PATENTS INC.			EXAMINER	
Suite 250 4 Venture			VINH, LAN	
Irvine, CA 926	618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	10)
DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003		, 0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/761,923	YANG ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Vinh	1765	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE see on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	•	
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):	•	•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:	SUPERVISORY PA	Y MILLS ATENT EXAMINER CENTER 1700	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 90/761,923

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation of "in the undoped dielectric layer, wherein the second opening", as recited in amended claim 1, raises new issue that would require further consideration.